

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
11/25/2003	William B. Morgan	03-12769	8796
590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
CISLO & THOMAS, LLP		LINDSEY, RODNEY M	
E BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ICA, CA 90401-1211		3765	
	11/25/2003 590 10/01/2004	11/25/2003 William B. Morgan 590 10/01/2004 OMAS, LLP E BLVD	11/25/2003 William B. Morgan 03-12769 590 10/01/2004 EXAM OMAS, LLP E BLVD ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,651	MORGAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rodney M. Lindsey	3765
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/723,651

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 21 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 21, last line "bracket" it appears should be --band-- and claim 35 it appears should depend from claim 32. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7, 15, 16, 18, 20, 25, 27, 29 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 18 "said coupling structure", in claim 20 "said coupling structure of said at least one bracket", in claim 25 "said coupling structure" and in claim 27 "said coupling structure of said at least one bracket", all, have no antecedent basis. In claims 7, 15, 16, 29 and 34 the scope of the limitation "loop-like" cannot be determined. It appears that "loop-like" should read --loop--.

Double Patenting

4. Applicant is advised that should claim 31 be found allowable, claim 35 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,651 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-9, 12-19, 21-26 and 28-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tischer et al. With respect to claims 1, 6, 12, 16, 21, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33 and 34 note for instance Figure 16 and the mask 112, hood 113, mount band 148 and harness 176. With respect to claims 2-4, 12, 18, 21, 22 and 23 note bracket 121. With respect to claims 5, 8, 13, 14, 17, 24, 25, 28 and 32 note the coupling structures 162. With respect to claims 7, 15 and 29 note the orifices in the hood through which 162 projects. With respect to claims 9, 19, 26, 31 and 35 note coupling structure 164. Further with respect to claims 28 and 32 note the orifices in the rear of harness 174, 176 as shown as netting in Figure 13 equivalent to the orifices as claimed. With respect to claim 36 note the provided mask 112 and bracket 121, the provided hood 113 and mount band 148 and the coupling of the hood and mask.
- 7. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones '663.

 Note the provided mask at 11 and bracket at 17, the provided hood at 12 and mount band equivalent to the integral front portion of hood 12 containing the openings for receiving 17, 18 and note the coupling of the hood 12 and mask 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,651 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claims 20 and 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note particularly, note the hoods, harness and facemasks of Gamberini, Fabin, Reischel et al., British patent to Simpson, L'Abbe et al., Monroe et al., Andrews et al., Jones '234 and Morgan '556.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (703) 305-7818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,651 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

rml